

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,793	08/04/2003	Glaucia Paranhos-Baccala	110048.01	5572	
25944	7590 02/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			BAUSCH,	BAUSCH, SARAE L	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1634		

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/632,793	PARANHOS-BACCALA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Sarae Bausch	1634		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	<b>J.</b> nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Air</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Eigenstein.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-7, 16, 21 (as it pertains to a transcription product), 37-40, 44-48, drawn to a nucleic acid molecule, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
  - II. Claims 8-9, 24-25, 32-35, 41-43 drawn to method for detecting nucleic acid by hybridization, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
  - III. Claims 10-11, 17-19, 26-27, 36 drawn to method for detecting nucleic acids by in vitro transcription/translation, classified in class 435, subclass 5.
  - IV. Claims 12, drawn to method for studying and/or monitoring T cell proliferation in vitro by contacting with synthetic peptides, classified in class 435, subclass 500.
  - V. Claim 13, drawn to method for in situ molecular labeling of chromosomes,
     classified in class 435, subclass 91.1.
  - VI. Claim 14-15, 21 (as it pertains to a translation product), 22, 23 drawn to protein, classified in class 435, subclass 183.
  - VII. Claim 20, drawn to method for studying T cell proliferation in vitro by contact with transcription/translation products, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
  - VIII. Claim 28-31, drawn to method for detecting susceptibility to an autoimmune disease using a synthetic peptide, classified in class 424, subclass 9.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1634

The inventions of groups I and VI are patentably distinct because they are drawn to different products having different structures and functions. The nucleic acid of group I is composed of deoxyribonucleotides linked by phosphodiester bonds and assumes the form of a double helix. The polypeptide of group VI is composed of amino acids linked by peptide bonds and can assume complex tertiary structures. The products of groups I and VI can be used in materially different processes, for example the DNA of group can be used in hybridization assays and the polypeptide of group VI can be used to make a fusion protein with an enzymatic function. Consequently, the reagents, reaction conditions, and reaction parameters required to make or use each invention are different. Therefore, the inventions of groups I and VI are patentably distinct from each other.

Inventions of group II-V, VII-VIII are biologically and functionally different and distinct from each other and thus one does not rend the other obvious. The methods of group II comprise steps which are not required for or present in the method of : in vitro transcription/translation (group III), studying T cell proliferation in vitro by contact with synthetic peptides (group IV), in situ labeling of chromosomes (group V), T cell proliferation by contact with transcription/translation products (group VII), and contacting a biological sample with a synthetic peptide (group VIII). The end result of the methods are different: detecting nucleic acid sequence by amplification (group II), detecting nucleic acid sequences by in vitro transcription/translation (group III), studying or monitoring T cell proliferation with synthetic peptides (group IV), labeling chromosomes (group V), studying or monitoring T cell proliferation by in vitro transcription/translation products (group VII), detecting susceptibility to an autoimmune disease (group VIII). Thus, the operation, function, and effects of these different

Art Unit: 1634

methods are different and distinct from each other. Therefore, the inventions of these different groups are patentably distinct.

Inventions I and II-III, V, are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acid of group I could be used for purification of nucleic acid, in situ hybridization, or encoding proteins which are not required for the method of group II-III and V.

Inventions of group I are unrelated to the inventions of groups IV, VII-VIII. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different function, and different effects. The methods of groups Iv, VII-VIII do not require the products of groups I.

Inventions II and IV, VII-VIII, are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the protein of group II could be used for producing a fusion protein or producing an antibody, which are not required for the method of group II-III and V.

Art Unit: 1634

Inventions of group II are unrelated to the inventions of groups II, III and V. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different function, and different effects. The methods of groups Iv, VII-VIII do not require the products of groups I.

Page 5

2. Additionally, group II and III named above is subject to further restriction. Applicant is required to further a specific primer set. This is NOT an election of species. Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to restriction requirement pursuant to 35 USC 121 and 37 CFR 1.141. By statute, "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the Commissioner may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions." 35 U.S.C. 121. Pursuant to this statute, the rules provide that "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in a single application, the examiner in his action shall require the applicant... to elect that invention to which his claim shall be restricted." 37 CFR 1.142 (a). See also 37 CFR 1.141(a). Searching more than one of the claimed patentably distinct sequences represents a serious burden to the office.

Art Unit: 1634

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II-VIII, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarae Bausch whose telephone number is (571) 272-2912. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones can be reached on (571) 272-0745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Sarah Bausch, PhD.

Examiner

Art Unit 1634

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600